



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/391,411	09/08/1999	YASUHIRO SATO	0557-4757-2	8571

22850 7590 09/10/2004

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

WHIPKEY, JASON T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/391,411

**Applicant(s)**

SATO ET AL.

**Examiner**

Jason T. Whipkey

**Art Unit**

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 July 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9-19 and 22-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7,9-18 and 22-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 19 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17 October 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 23, 2004, has been entered.

### ***Claim Objections***

2. The amendment to the claims has vitiated the objections to the claims. The objections to the claims are withdrawn.

### ***Response to Arguments***

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-19, and 22-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1-4, 6, 10-14, and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizuka (U.S. Patent No. 5,946,032) in view of Tomitaka (Japanese Patent Application Publication No. 07-264463).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Ishizuka discloses a camera shake correcting apparatus, as shown in Figure 9. The system includes digital integrating circuits 22 and 28 ("a shaking detector") for receiving signals from angular velocity sensors 1 and 10, which detect motion in a vertical and a horizontal direction ("camera coordinate axes"), respectively (column 8, lines 47-51). Digital integrating circuits 22 and 28 also act as calculators by integrating the pitch and yaw angles output by angular velocity sensors 1 and 10 to produce correction angles for variable

Art Unit: 2612

angular prism assembly 7, which is displaced horizontally and vertically (“a deviation correction device”) (column 8, lines 51-55, and column 9, lines 18-25).

Ishizuka is silent with regard to including a rotation regulator for rotating an image pickup device around a z-axis corresponding to the optical axis.

Tomitaka discloses an image pickup device that performs handshake correction, as shown in Drawing 1. Angular velocity sensor 5 corrects shaking of the camera around an optical axis by rotating lens block section 4, which includes solid state image pickup element 3 (abstract, lines 1-6).

An advantage to correcting shaking in the direction around an optical axis by rotating an image sensor is that further stabilization of the image captured by the camera may be achieved beyond simple x- and y-direction stabilization. For this reason, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to have Ishizuka’s camera rotate its image sensor around the optical axis.

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Ishizuka teaches that part 7 is a variable angular prism (column 9, lines 18-25).

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Tomitaka discloses that angular velocity sensor 5 senses the angular velocity around the optical axis (abstract, line 2).

Regarding claim 6, Ishizuka shows in Figure 9 that angular velocity sensor 10 is oriented horizontally.

Regarding claims 10 and 22, Ishizuka shows in Figure 9 that variable angular prism assembly 7 (“an optical system of the camera”) corrects camera shake using the measurements obtained by angular velocity sensors 1 and 10 and processed by digital integrating circuits 22 and 28.

Regarding claims 11 and 23, Ishizuka shows in Figure 9 that variable angular prism assembly 7 corrects camera shake using the measurements obtained by angular velocity sensors 1 and 10 and processed by digital integrating circuits 22 and 28.

Regarding claims 12 and 24, Tomitaka teaches that motor 6 adjusts the position of both lens 1 and solid state image pickup element 3 together, since both are contained in lens block section 4 (abstract, lines 1-6).

7. Claims 5, 7, 9, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishizuka in view of Tomitaka and further in view of Miyazawa (U.S. Patent No. 5,331,365).

Claims 5, 9, and 15 may be treated like claims 1, 3, and 14, respectively. However, both Ishizuka and Tomitaka are silent with regard to including a low-pass filter to remove a frequency band over 20 Hz from the outputs of the angular velocity sensors.

Miyazawa discloses a camera shaking detection apparatus with the circuitry shown in Figure 4. The circuitry includes low-pass filter 26, which removes shaking signal components with a frequency of more than 20 Hz (column 4, lines 51-53). As stated in column 5, lines 3-8, the advantage to removing frequencies greater than 20 Hz is that interference may be removed. For this reason, it would have been obvious at the time of invention to have Ishizuka include a low-pass filter that removes shaking signal components with a frequency of more than 20 Hz, such as the one described by Miyazawa.

Regarding claim 7, as described above, Tomitaka discloses that angular velocity sensor 5 senses the angular velocity around the optical axis (abstract, line 2). Ishizuka shows in Figure 9 that angular velocity sensor 10 is oriented horizontally.

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Tomitaka discloses that angular velocity sensor 5 senses the angular velocity around the optical axis (abstract, line 2).

Regarding claim 18, Ishizuka shows in Figure 9 that angular velocity sensor 10 is oriented horizontally.

### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

No prior art could be located that teaches or fairly suggests a camera that performs handshaking correction using signals detected from angular velocity sensors, wherein a pair of angular velocity sensors is located on an optical axis of the camera and a second pair of angular velocity sensors detects movement in a horizontal direction.

### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason T. Whipkey, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1819. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. eastern daylight time, alternating Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber, can be reached on (703) 305-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JTW

JTW

September 7, 2004

  
NGOC-YEN VU  
PRIMARY EXAMINER